

The Women's Health Initiative Observational Study: Baseline Characteristics of Participants and Reliability of Baseline Measures

ROBERT D. LANGER, MD, MPH, EMILY WHITE, PHD, CORA E. LEWIS, MD, MSPH, JANE M. KOTCHEN, MD, MPH, SUSAN L. HENDRIX, DO, AND MAURIZIO TREVISAN, MD, MS

Ann Epidemiol 2003;13:S107–S121. © 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

KEY WORDS: Cohort Study, Exposure Assessment, Postmenopausal Women, Women's Health.

INTRODUCTION

The Women's Health Initiative (WHI) Observational Study (OS) was established to explore the predictors and natural history of important causes of morbidity and mortality in postmenopausal women, and to serve as a secular control for the WHI Clinical Trial (CT). It enrolled 93,676 ethnically diverse women born in four different decades, from those who came of age in the depression-era, to the first members of the baby boom. Accordingly, this cohort reflects a wide range of socio-cultural influences on opportunities and health behaviors.

OS participants will contribute longitudinal data on health status, risk exposures and disease events. The follow-up interval will be slightly shorter than that in the clinical trial, approximately 7 years. All OS women had a physical examination at baseline and 3 years. Additional data are obtained with annual mailed questionnaires. These forms explore risk exposures, health behaviors, and the prevalence of less common diseases to provide a comprehensive view of both classical and novel risk factors, as well as secular trends in the predictors of healthy aging and disease events. Because of its size, the OS will permit exploration of factors associated with less common diseases.

This article describes the demographic, reproductive, dietary, and health characteristics of the OS women by eth-

nicity and age. In addition, we present information on the reliability of many of the baseline measures assessed in a subset of participants who were selected for the Measurement Precision Study (OS-MPS).

METHODS

Study participants were enrolled at 40 centers throughout the United States between October 1, 1993 and December 31, 1998. Potential subjects were excluded if they did not plan to reside in the area for at least 3 years, had medical conditions predictive of survival less than 3 years, or had complicating conditions such as alcoholism, drug dependency or dementia. All participants provided informed consent using materials approved by institutional review boards at each center. Details of the scientific rationale, eligibility requirements and other aspects of the design of the WHI have been published (1).

Participants entered the OS by expressing interest in either the diet modification (DM) or postmenopausal hormone therapy (PHT) components of the clinical trial, but proving ineligible or unwilling to participate in the clinical trial, or by responding to a direct invitation to be screened for the OS. Thus, the specific exclusions for the DM and PHT components influenced the characteristics of women in the OS. Those exclusions are outlined in Hays' article in this issue.

Data Collection and Definition of Variables

Demographic and risk exposure data, as well as data regarding family and medical history, were obtained by self-report using standardized questionnaires. Certified staff took physical measurements, including blood pressure, height and weight, and blood samples at the clinic visit. Most blood is reserved for nested case-control studies, but levels of certain nutrients and cardiovascular risk markers, assayed in a subsample, are reported here. A standardized written protocol, centralized training of local clinic staff, local quality assurance activities, and periodic quality assurance visits by the

From the Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA (R.D.L.); Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA (E.W.); Division of Preventive Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL (C.E.L.); Division of Epidemiology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI (J.M.K.); Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI (S.L.H.); and Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY (M.T.).

Address correspondence to: Dr. R.D. Langer, La Jolla Vanguard Clinical Center, Women's Health Initiative, 8950 Villa La Jolla Drive, Suite 2232, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA. Tel.: (619) 622-5771; Fax: (619) 622-5777. E-mail: rlanger@ucsd.edu

Received December 20, 2002.

Clinical Coordinating Center were used to maintain uniform data collection procedures at all study sites. Additional details can be found in the appendix to Anderson's article.

Statistical Analyses

Distributions of categorical variables were calculated in strata defined by age and ethnicity, and the chi-square statistic was used to assess group differences. For continuous variables, means and standard deviations were calculated for these same strata, and analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess the significance of differences between age and ethnic categories, with and without adjustment for effect modifiers.

Since the sample size was very large, tests for statistical significance were highly significant ($p < 0.001$) for nearly all comparisons. Accordingly, the level of statistical significance is not shown in the tables. Age-adjustment was applied to all variables but only meaningfully affected the fractions living alone, widowed, and with hysterectomy. Given the limited utility of age-adjustment with so few factors affected, unadjusted rates are reported in all tables. All contrasts noted in the results were statistically significant with or without adjustment; the items highlighted were chosen based on either the magnitude of differences or "ad hoc" hypotheses.

Reliability Subsample

The test-retest reliability of selected measures was assessed in a subset of OS women who participated in the Measurement Precision Study. The self-administered baseline questionnaires and the blood draw were repeated approximately 3 months after baseline. Physical measures and interviewer-administered questionnaires were not included. The Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) was not repeated because it was assessed in a separate study (2).

A predefined number of women who enrolled in the OS between October 1996 and June 1997 were randomly selected each month and invited to join the OS-MPS at the time of their entry into the WHI. Sampling was stratified by center, age, and race/ethnicity and continued until 1000 women agreed to participate. To reduce burden, each participant repeated four of the original eight questionnaires based on a random assignment of clinics into two groups. Thus, the reliability of each variable was tested in approximately half of the women participating in the OS-MPS.

Overall, 2045 women were selected, 1092 completed the repeat questionnaires, and 872 had the repeat fasting blood draw. The average time between measures was 3 months (range: 8–15 weeks). The response rate was greater than the apparent 53% because some women who enrolled did not participate 3 months later as their clinic had reached its quota.

Kappa statistics were calculated for dichotomous or nominal categorical variables, weighted kappa was used for ordered categorical variables, and the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) was used for continuous measures (the blood measures) (3). The distributions of the blood analytes were generally positively skewed; however, the ICCs with and without log transformation were almost identical, so the untransformed values are given. These statistics are reported in Tables 1, 2, and 4 alongside the primary study data for the items assessed.

RESULTS

93,726 women enrolled in the OS between September 1, 1994 and December 31, 1998. Of these, 31 provided insufficient baseline data to be included in these analyses, and 19 duplicate enrollments were found across multiple sites. After removing these, the remaining 93,676 women form the final analytic OS cohort, of which 78,013 (83.3%) were White, 7,639 (8.2%) Black, 3,623 (3.9%) Hispanic, 2,671 (2.9%) Asian/Pacific Islander, 422 (0.57%) American Indian, and 1308 (1.4%) of unknown race/ethnicity. The age distribution was 31.7%, 44.0% and 24.3%, respectively, for groups 50 to 59, 60 to 69, and 70 to 79 years old. Comparisons between OS and CT participants can be made by contrasting the tables presented in similar formats in this and preceding articles as well as in the appendix to Hays' article.

Age Contrasts

Educational attainment, occupational level, and total family income declined with age (Table 1). Twenty-five percent of the women aged 70 to 79 years had total family income less than \$20,000 compared with 10% of women aged 50 to 59 years. Conversely, over half the women aged 50 to 59 years reported family incomes greater than \$50,000 compared with about 25% of women aged 70 to 79 years.

Current smoking was inversely associated with age, declining by 2% for each decade from a maximum of 8% in women 50 to 59 years old. Women 70 to 79 years old were the least likely to have ever smoked. Current alcohol use decreased with age, and older women were more likely to be past drinkers. The frequency of moderate or greater physical activity decreased with age. Conversely, the youngest age group reported more hours sedentary. Body Mass Index (BMI) was lowest in women 70 to 79 years old, but waist/hip ratio increased slightly with age.

All participants were postmenopausal so childbearing was complete. Nonetheless, women in the oldest two age groups reported more pregnancies and live births than women aged 50 to 59 years (Table 2). Yet, a greater

TABLE 1. Baseline demographic and general health characteristics of WHI Observational Study participants by age

Characteristic	Age at screening (y)												Reliability (N = 564) κ				
	50-59 (N = 29,705)				60-69 (N = 41,197)				70-79 (N = 22,774)					Total (N = 93,676)			
	N	%	Mean ± SD	N	%	Mean ± SD	N	%	Mean ± SD	N	%	Mean ± SD		N	%		
Race/Ethnicity																	
American Indian	178	0.6		161	0.4		83	0.4		422	0.5						
Asian/Pacific Islander	861	2.9		1102	2.7		708	3.1		2671	2.9						
Black	2978	10.0		3256	7.9		1405	6.2		7639	8.2						
Hispanic	1761	5.9		1399	3.4		463	2.0		3623	3.9						
White	23,565	79.3		34,677	84.2		19,771	86.8		78,013	83.3						
Unknown	362	1.2		602	1.5		344	1.5		1308	1.4						
Education																	
0-8 years	433	1.5		612	1.5		515	2.3		1560	1.7						
Some high school	676	2.3		1572	3.8		1040	4.6		3288	3.5						
High school diploma/GED	3715	12.6		7343	18.0		4063	18.0		15,121	16.3						
School after high school	10,422	35.4		14,793	36.2		8718	38.6		33,933	36.5						
College degree or higher	14,173	48.2		16,560	40.5		8269	36.6		39,002	42.0						
Family income																	
<\$10,000	991	3.5		1648	4.3		1277	6.2		3916	4.5						
\$10,000-\$19,999	1744	6.2		4460	11.7		3896	18.8		10,100	11.6						
\$20,000-\$34,999	4266	15.2		9640	25.3		6320	30.5		20,226	23.3						
\$35,000-\$49,999	5143	18.4		8167	21.5		4119	19.9		17,429	20.1						
\$50,000-\$74,999	6951	24.8		7551	19.8		2984	14.4		17,486	20.2						
\$75,000 +	8880	31.7		6603	17.3		2125	10.3		17,608	20.3						
Occupation																	
Managerial/Professional	13,945	49.1		16,540	42.1		8137	37.8		38,622	43.3						
Technical/Sales/Administrative	7951	28.0		11,512	29.3		6017	28.0		25,480	28.6						
Service/Labor	4537	16.0		6813	17.3		4120	19.2		15,470	17.3						
Homemaker only	1964	6.9		4457	11.3		3237	15.0		9658	10.8						
Body mass index (BMI), kg/m ²	29,353		27.5 ± 6.3	40,696		27.4 ± 5.8	22,519		26.7 ± 5.3	92,568		27.3 ± 5.9					
Height (cm)	29,491		163.1 ± 6.8	40,846		161.7 ± 6.6	22,583		159.7 ± 6.5	92,920		161.7 ± 6.8					
Weight (kg)	29,536		73.4 ± 18.0	40,988		72.2 ± 16.7	22,680		68.6 ± 15.1	93,204		71.7 ± 16.9					
Waist/hip ratio (WHR)	29,555		0.8 ± 0.1	40,960		0.8 ± 0.1	22,652		0.8 ± 0.1	93,167		0.8 ± 0.1					
Waist (cm)	29,588		84.2 ± 14.4	41,017		85.4 ± 13.7	22,674		84.6 ± 12.5	93,279		84.8 ± 13.7					
Marital status																	
Never married	1618	5.5		1764	4.3		1008	4.5		4390	4.7						
Divorced/Separated	6048	20.5		6234	15.2		2445	10.8		14,727	15.8						
Widowed	1676	5.7		6795	16.6		7819	34.5		16,290	17.5						
Presently married/Living as married	20,218	68.4		26,212	63.9		11,375	50.2		57,805	62.0						
Living alone																	
No	24,010	81.4		30,463	74.5		13,837	61.3		68,310	73.5						
Yes	5476	18.6		10,409	25.5		8718	38.7		24,603	26.5						
U.S. region																	
Northeast	6309	21.2		10,007	24.3		4957	21.8		21,273	22.7						
South	8919	30.0		10,380	25.2		5163	22.7		24,459	26.1						
Midwest	6457	21.7		9436	22.9		4714	20.7		20,607	22.0						
West	8023	27.0		11,374	27.6		7940	34.9		27,337	29.2						

(continued)

TABLE 1. Continued

Characteristic	Age at screening (y)												Reliability (N = 564) κ		
	50-59 (N = 29,705)				60-69 (N = 41,197)				70-79 (N = 22,774)					Total (N = 93,676)	
	N	%	Mean ± SD	N	%	Mean ± SD	N	%	Mean ± SD	N	%	Mean ± SD		N	%
Years lived in current state															
<5	1297	4.4		1439	3.5		586	2.6		3322	3.6				
5-9	1447	4.9		1401	3.4		736	3.3		3584	3.9				
10-19	3298	11.2		2749	6.7		1393	6.2		7440	8.0				
20+	23,468	79.5		35,330	86.3		19,882	88.0		78,680	84.6				
Born in the U.S.															
No	2422	8.2		2876	7.0		1504	6.6		6802	7.3			1.00	
Yes	27,098	91.8		38,053	93.0		21,130	93.4		86,281	92.7			0.99	
U.S. region of birth															
Not born in U.S.															
Northeast	2422	8.3		2876	7.1		1504	6.7		6802	7.4			0.94	
Midwest	7769	26.5		11,807	29.0		6187	27.5		25,763	27.9				
South	7970	27.2		12,144	29.9		6963	30.9		27,077	29.3				
West	7156	24.4		8683	21.3		4479	19.9		20,318	22.0				
Smoking	3972	13.6		5160	12.7		3366	15.0		12,498	13.5				
Never smoked	14,427	49.1		20,246	49.8		12,350	55.4		47,023	50.9				
Past smoker	12,570	42.8		17,884	44.0		9060	40.6		39,514	42.8				
Current smoker	2386	8.1		2503	6.2		902	4.0		5791	6.3			0.83 ^a	
Years as a child lived with smoker															
Never lived with a smoker	8375	28.7		14,528	36.1		10,234	46.4		33,137	36.2				
<1	269	0.9		350	0.9		200	0.9		819	0.9				
1-4	895	3.1		1138	2.8		569	2.6		2602	2.8				
5-9	1891	6.5		2241	5.6		1114	5.0		5246	5.7				
10-18	17,704	60.8		21,979	54.6		9953	45.1		49,636	54.3				
Years as adult lived with smoker															
Never lived with a smoker	8573	29.2		10,114	24.8		5675	25.3		24,362	26.3			0.73 ^a	
<1	793	2.7		775	1.9		444	2.0		2012	2.2				
1-4	3801	12.9		3707	9.1		1647	7.3		9155	9.9				
5-9	3404	11.6		3550	8.7		1619	7.2		8573	9.3				
10-19	5145	17.5		6588	16.2		2917	13.0		14,650	15.8				
20-29	3868	13.2		6526	16.0		3494	15.6		13,888	15.0				
30-39	2804	9.5		4949	12.2		3015	13.4		10,768	11.6				
40+	1015	3.5		4498	11.0		3620	16.1		9133	9.9				
Years worked with smoker															
Never worked with a smoker	7040	24.0		10,034	24.7		6269	27.9		23,343	25.3			0.63 ^a	
<1	1223	4.2		1396	3.4		758	3.4		3377	3.7				
1-4	5509	18.8		6039	14.9		2969	13.2		14,517	15.7				
5-9	5314	18.1		6134	15.1		2856	12.7		14,304	15.5				
10-19	5653	19.3		7870	19.4		3885	17.3		17,408	18.8				
20-29	3241	11.0		5403	13.3		3078	13.7		11,722	12.7				
30-39	1129	3.8		2555	6.3		1557	6.9		5241	5.7				
40+	243	0.8		1225	3.0		1058	4.7		2526	2.7				

Table 2. Baseline reproductive and medical history status of WHI Observational Study participants by age

Reproductive and Medical History	Age at screening (y)												Reliability (N = 564) κ				
	50-59 (N = 29,705)				60-69 (N = 41,197)				70-79 (N = 22,774)					Total (N = 93,676)			
	N	%	Mean ± SD	N	%	Mean ± SD	N	%	Mean ± SD	N	%	Mean ± SD		N	%		
Hysterectomy^b																	
No	18,047	60.8		23,694	57.6		12,702	55.8		54,443	58.2		54,443	58.2			0.95
Yes	11,628	39.2		17,463	42.4		10,056	44.2		39,147	41.8		39,147	41.8			0.92 ^a
Age at hysterectomy (y)																	
Not hysterectomized	18,047	60.9		23,694	57.7		12,702	55.9		54,443	58.3		54,443	58.3			
<40	4918	16.6		5367	13.1		2174	9.6		12,459	13.3		12,459	13.3			
40-49	5090	17.2		7677	18.7		4025	17.7		16,792	18.0		16,792	18.0			
50-54	1297	4.4		2167	5.3		1635	7.2		5099	5.5		5099	5.5			
55+	285	1.0		2191	5.3		2175	9.6		4651	5.0		4651	5.0			
Ever pregnant																	
No	3272	11.0		3660	8.9		2425	10.7		9357	10.0		9357	10.0			0.98
Yes	26,348	89.0		37,404	91.1		20,252	89.3		84,004	90.0		84,004	90.0			0.86 ^a
Age at first birth (y)^c																	
Never had term pregnancy	1134	4.7		859	2.6		544	3.1		2537	3.4		2537	3.4			
<20	4301	17.9		4758	14.3		1458	8.4		10,517	14.1		10,517	14.1			
20-29	16,664	69.2		24,962	74.9		12,887	74.2		54,513	72.9		54,513	72.9			
30+	1969	8.2		2763	8.3		2473	14.2		7205	9.6		7205	9.6			0.97 ^a
Number of pregnancies																	
Never pregnant	3272	11.1		3660	8.9		2425	10.7		9357	10.0		9357	10.0			
1	2632	8.9		2449	6.0		1699	7.5		6780	7.3		6780	7.3			
2-4	18,845	63.7		23,844	58.2		13,090	57.9		55,779	59.9		55,779	59.9			
5+	4819	16.3		11,021	26.9		5411	23.9		21,251	22.8		21,251	22.8			0.98 ^b
Number of live births																	
Never pregnant	3272	11.1		3660	8.9		2425	10.7		9357	10.1		9357	10.1			
None	1186	4.0		920	2.2		591	2.6		2697	2.9		2697	2.9			
1	3405	11.5		3208	7.8		2166	9.6		8779	9.4		8779	9.4			
2-4	19,581	66.4		26,776	65.5		14,317	63.4		60,674	65.2		60,674	65.2			
5+	2066	7.0		6340	15.5		3094	13.7		11,500	12.4		11,500	12.4			
Any induced abortions^c																	
Pregnant, never had an abortion	21,658	86.5		32,289	92.9		17,520	94.0		71,467	91.1		71,467	91.1			0.71
One or more abortions	3385	13.5		2464	7.1		1116	6.0		6965	8.9		6965	8.9			0.89 ^a
Number of months breastfed																	
Never breastfed	15,316	52.1		19,949	49.2		10,178	45.8		45,443	49.3		45,443	49.3			
1-6	6892	23.4		10,707	26.4		6269	28.2		23,868	25.9		23,868	25.9			
7-12	3313	11.3		4322	10.7		2613	11.7		10,248	11.1		10,248	11.1			
13-23	2396	8.1		3448	8.5		1918	8.6		7762	8.4		7762	8.4			
24+	1487	5.1		2152	5.3		1261	5.7		4900	5.3		4900	5.3			
Age at tubal ligation (y)																	
Never had tubal ligation	20,509	69.5		35,522	86.9		21,259	94.3		77,290	83.2		77,290	83.2			0.94
<30	1154	3.9		844	2.1		324	1.4		2322	2.5		2322	2.5			
30-34	2964	10.0		1058	2.6		395	1.8		4417	4.8		4417	4.8			
35-39	3298	11.2		1679	4.1		358	1.6		5335	5.7		5335	5.7			
40-44	1343	4.6		1380	3.4		155	0.7		2878	3.1		2878	3.1			
45+	246	0.8		404	1.0		54	0.2		704	0.8		704	0.8			

Age last had any menstrual bleeding (y)

<40	4207	15.8	4725	12.4	1904	9.0	10,836	12.6
40-44	3329	12.5	5448	14.3	2867	13.6	11,644	13.6
45-49	6120	23.0	7724	20.3	4572	21.7	18,416	21.5
50-54	10,080	37.9	12,384	32.5	7670	36.4	30,134	35.1
55-59	2894	10.9	5137	13.5	2678	12.7	10,709	12.5
60+			2668	7.0	1355	6.4	4023	4.7

Current health care provider

No	2002	6.8	1994	4.9	798	3.5	4794	5.2
Yes	27,414	93.2	38,812	95.1	21,731	96.5	87,957	94.8

Mammogram in last 2 y

No	3936	13.6	5217	13.0	3557	16.2	12,710	14.0
Yes	24,979	86.4	34,828	87.0	18,355	83.8	78,162	86.0

Pap smear in last 3 y

No	1109	6.6	1857	8.5	1624	14.2	4590	9.2
Yes	15,625	93.4	19,982	91.5	9785	85.8	45,392	90.8

History of PHT use^d

Never	9854	33.2	16,906	41.1	11,057	48.7	37,817	40.4
Past	2965	10.0	5674	13.8	4493	19.8	13,132	14.0
Current	16,846	56.8	18,565	45.1	7168	31.6	42,579	45.5

Total PHT duration (y)

Non-user	9854	33.2	16,906	41.0	11,057	48.6	37,817	40.4
<5	10,071	33.9	6969	16.9	3791	16.6	20,831	22.2
5-<10	5830	19.6	5262	12.8	1552	6.8	12,644	13.5
10-<15	2482	8.4	5358	13.0	1447	6.4	9287	9.9
15+	1467	4.9	6701	16.3	4927	21.6	13,095	14.0

History of E-alone use^d

Never	19,266	64.9	25,635	62.3	13,443	59.2	58,344	62.4
Past	2046	6.9	4735	11.5	4304	18.9	11,085	11.8
Current	8356	28.2	10,787	26.2	4979	21.9	24,122	25.8

Total E-alone duration (y)

Non-user	19,266	64.9	25,635	62.2	13,443	59.0	58,344	62.3
<5	4768	16.1	4798	11.6	3098	13.6	12,664	13.5
5-<10	2948	9.9	2821	6.8	1220	5.4	6989	7.5
10-<15	1511	5.1	2785	6.8	1050	4.6	5346	5.7
15+	1212	4.1	5157	12.5	3963	17.4	10,332	11.0

History of E+P use^d

Never	18,443	62.1	29,519	71.7	19,128	84.0	67,090	71.7
Past	2544	8.6	3708	9.0	1380	6.1	7632	8.2
Current	8705	29.3	7950	19.3	2252	9.9	18,907	20.2

Total E+P duration (y)

Non-user	18,443	62.1	29,519	71.7	19,128	84.0	67,090	71.6
<5	7162	24.1	4628	11.2	1580	6.9	13,370	14.3
5-<10	3098	10.4	3404	8.3	695	3.1	7197	7.7
10-<15	842	2.8	2537	6.2	630	2.8	4009	4.3
15+	159	0.5	1109	2.7	741	3.3	2009	2.1

Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)

≤120	29,665	120.7 ± 16.1	41,146	127.7 ± 17.4	22,740	133.8 ± 18.6	93,551	127.0 ± 18.0
>120-140	16,191	54.6	15,290	37.2	5706	25.1	37,187	39.8
>140	10,297	34.7	17,328	42.1	9764	42.9	37,389	40.0
>140	3177	10.7	8528	20.7	7270	32.0	18,975	20.3

(continued)

Table 2. Continued

	Age at screening (y)												Reliability (N = 564) κ
	50-59 (N = 29,705)			60-69 (N = 41,197)			70-79 (N = 22,774)			Total (N = 93,676)			
	N	%	Mean \pm SD	N	%	Mean \pm SD	N	%	Mean \pm SD	N	%	Mean \pm SD	
Reproductive and Medical History													
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)													
<90	29,665	93.0	75.4 \pm 9.2	41,137	93.5	75.0 \pm 9.3	22,729	94.6	73.4 \pm 9.6	93,531	93.6	74.7 \pm 9.4	
\geq 90	27,600	7.0		38,448	6.5		1,228	5.4		87,549	6.4		
History of hypertension													0.86
Never hypertensive	22,029	75.3		26,195	64.8		12,975	58.1		61,199	66.5		
Untreated hypertensive	2,192	7.5		3,268	8.1		1,858	8.3		7,318	8.0		
Treated hypertensive	5,035	17.2		10,948	27.1		7,481	33.5		23,464	25.5		
Treated diabetes (pills or shots)													0.86
No	28,743	96.8		39,287	95.5		21,624	95.1		89,654	95.8		
Yes	938	3.2		1,855	4.5		1,109	4.9		3,902	4.2		
Treated hypercholesterolemia (pills)													0.82
No	26,289	90.6		33,499	83.2		18,047	80.8		77,835	85.0		
Yes	2,732	9.4		6,761	16.8		4,281	19.2		13,774	15.0		
Depression (shortened CES-D/DIS \geq 0.06)													0.49
No	24,836	85.5		35,950	89.6		19,972	91.0		80,758	88.6		
Yes	4,204	14.5		4,177	10.4		1,987	9.0		10,368	11.4		
Benign breast disease													0.77
No	22,185	79.4		29,225	76.6		15,899	76.7		67,309	77.5		
Yes, 1 biopsy	4,071	14.6		6,100	16.0		3,332	16.1		13,503	15.6		
Yes, 2+ biopsies	1,673	6.0		2,841	7.4		1,487	7.2		6,001	6.9		
History of MI													0.93
No	29,363	98.9		40,148	97.5		21,772	95.7		91,283	97.5		
Yes	319	1.1		1,013	2.5		974	4.3		2,306	2.5		
History of stroke													0.58
No	29,459	99.2		40,567	98.5		22,180	97.5		92,206	98.5		
Yes	235	0.8		602	1.5		578	2.5		1,415	1.5		
History of CHF													0.44
No	29,559	99.5		40,792	99.0		22,427	98.5		92,778	99.0		
Yes	145	0.5		401	1.0		346	1.5		892	1.0		
History of angina													0.82
No	28,876	97.5		39,072	95.3		20,915	92.5		88,863	95.3		
Yes	729	2.5		1,935	4.7		1,708	7.5		4,372	4.7		
History of carotid endarterectomy/angioplasty													0.67
No	29,333	99.9		40,406	99.7		22,087	99.2		91,826	99.6		
Yes	35	0.1		138	0.3		171	0.8		344	0.4		
History of DVT													0.58
No	28,844	97.2		39,450	95.8		21,727	95.5		90,021	96.2		
Yes	841	2.8		1,710	4.2		1,021	4.5		3,572	3.8		
History of PE													0.89
No	29,473	99.3		40,708	98.9		22,479	98.8		92,660	99.0		
Yes	214	0.7		469	1.1		276	1.2		959	1.0		

History of peripheral arterial disease PAD										
No	29,380	99.2	40,352	98.4	22,008	97.4	91,740	98.4	0.72	
Yes	231	0.8	643	1.6	593	2.6	1467	1.6		
History of CABG/PTCA										
No	29,149	99.3	39,744	98.0	21,500	96.6	90,393	98.1	0.90	
Yes	217	0.7	799	2.0	757	3.4	1773	1.9		
History of polyp removal										
No	27,252	94.1	35,693	89.4	18,781	85.6	81,726	90.0	0.88	
Yes	1709	5.9	4241	10.6	3160	14.4	9110	10.0		
History of fracture at age 55+ ^e										
No	16,176	94.8	34,368	84.8	16,882	75.4	67,426	84.3	0.65	
Yes	893	5.2	6148	15.2	5500	24.6	12,541	15.7		
History of hip fracture at age 55+										
No	29,242	100.0	40,309	99.5	22,061	98.6	91,612	99.4	0.67	
Yes	10	0.0	207	0.5	321	1.4	538	0.6		
Number of falls in last 12 mo										
None	19,756	67.3	27,791	68.3	15,063	67.0	62,610	67.7	0.45 ^a	
1	5746	19.6	8063	19.8	4585	20.4	18,394	19.9		
2	2439	8.3	3219	7.9	1921	8.6	7579	8.2		
3+	1407	4.8	1607	4.0	897	4.0	3911	4.2		
History of cancer ^f										
No	26,552	89.9	35,604	87.1	18,697	83.0	80,853	87.0	0.77	
Yes	2984	10.1	5270	12.9	3821	17.0	12,075	13.0		
History of breast cancer										
No	28,436	95.8	38,925	94.6	21,171	93.1	88,532	94.6	0.89	
Yes	1233	4.2	2222	5.4	1566	6.9	5021	5.4		
History of colorectal cancer										
No	29,504	99.6	40,733	99.1	22,325	98.3	92,562	99.1	1.00	
Yes	107	0.4	367	0.9	386	1.7	860	0.9		
History of endometrial cancer										
No	29,260	98.6	40,450	98.3	22,120	97.3	91,830	98.2	0.82	
Yes	409	1.4	699	1.7	613	2.7	1721	1.8		
History of melanoma										
No	29,258	98.8	40,332	98.2	22,116	97.5	91,706	98.2	0.76	
Yes	363	1.2	729	1.8	567	2.5	1659	1.8		
History of cervical cancer										
No	29,071	98.6	40,310	98.7	22,178	98.8	91,559	98.7	1.00	
Yes	418	1.4	514	1.3	273	1.2	1205	1.3		
History of osteoporosis										
No	27,973	95.5	36,860	90.7	19,325	85.8	84,158	91.0	0.77	
Yes	1325	4.5	3770	9.3	3187	14.2	8282	9.0		
History of arthritis										
No arthritis	18,670	63.6	20,011	49.4	9006	40.5	47,687	51.8	0.81	
Rheumatoid arthritis	1253	4.3	2243	5.5	1479	6.6	4975	5.4		
Other arthritis	9425	32.1	18,221	45.0	11,767	52.9	39,413	42.8		

(continued)

Table 2. Continued

	Age at screening (y)												Reliability (N = 564) κ		
	50-59 (N = 29,705)				60-69 (N = 41,197)				70-79 (N = 22,774)					Total (N = 93,676)	
	N	%	Mean ± SD	N	%	Mean ± SD	N	%	Mean ± SD	N	%	Mean ± SD		N	%
Reproductive and Medical History															
Family history of myocardial infarction															
No	14,655	51.7		17,863	45.7		9,570	45.0		42,088	47.5				
Yes	13,698	48.3		21,196	54.3		11,675	55.0		46,569	52.5				
Family history of stroke															
No	18,905	66.9		23,218	59.9		12,278	57.5		54,401	61.6				
Yes	9,333	33.1		15,565	40.1		9,061	42.5		33,959	38.4				
Family history of breast cancer															
No	23,088	81.8		31,306	80.4		16,948	79.5		71,342	80.6				
Yes	5,148	18.2		7,622	19.6		4,360	20.5		17,130	19.4				
Family history of colorectal cancer															
No	23,564	86.2		31,195	82.8		16,402	80.1		71,161	83.2				
Yes	3,782	13.8		6,458	17.2		4,079	19.9		14,319	16.8				
Parent broke bone after age 40															
No	16,480	59.9		22,545	59.2		13,148	62.8		52,173	60.3				
Yes	11,031	40.1		15,516	40.8		7,793	37.2		34,340	39.7				
Family history of adult diabetes															
No	18,954	66.8		25,848	66.1		14,671	68.6		59,473	66.9				
Yes	9,416	33.2		13,262	33.9		6,725	31.4		29,403	33.1				

MI, myocardial infarction; CHF, congestive heart failure; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolism.

^aWeighted kappa.

^bHysterectomy at randomization.

^cApplies only to participants who have ever been pregnant.

^dBased on estrogen and progesterone pills and patches only (creams and shots excluded). Episodes less than 3 months are excluded.

^eApplies only to participants age 55 and older.

^fExcluding non-melanoma skin cancer.

fraction of women 70 to 79 years old had their first child after age 30 than women in the younger age cohorts.

The prevalence of diabetes, hypertension, prior myocardial infarction, stroke, cancer, fracture, and hysterectomy increased with age. Access to a health care provider increased, but the frequency of mammography and Pap smears declined with age. Women 50 to 59 years old were the most likely to be depressed, with a prevalence about 50% greater than women aged 70 to 79 years.

Total energy intake declined, while the use of supplements and servings of fruits and vegetables increased with age (Table 3). There were no other important age-related differences in dietary factors. The small sample size for blood analytes precludes meaningful comparisons by age group (Table 4).

Racial/Ethnic Contrasts

The distributions of variables by ethnicity are shown in the appendix to Hays' article. The average age ranged from 60.6 years for Hispanic women to 63.9 years for White women. Hispanic women reported the lowest educational attainment, the lowest frequency of managerial/professional occupation, and the highest frequency of homemaker as sole occupation. Hispanics and American Indians had similar distributions of income, with nearly 40% reporting a family income below \$20,000. In contrast, White women were 1.9 times more likely than American Indian and Hispanic, and 1.6 times more likely than Black women, to report family income above \$50,000.

While few women in any of the ethnic groups had never married, Black women were less likely to be married currently than women of the other races/ethnicities. Previously married Black and Hispanic women were more likely to be divorced than widowed, while White and Asian women were slightly more likely to be widowed than divorced. Black women had the highest rates of living alone, divorce, and widowhood. Asian/Pacific Islander women were the least likely to live alone.

More Asian/Pacific Islanders reported never having been smokers than women of other races, while Black and American Indian women reported being current smokers more often than the other groups. White women reported a greater prevalence of alcoholic beverage use, and more frequent drinking than the other groups. White women engaged in substantially more moderate or strenuous activity than women in the other groups.

Black women had the highest prevalence of hysterectomy (54.8%). Black and American Indian women reported similar high rates of hysterectomy before the age of 40 (24.8% and 25.4%, respectively). Black and Hispanic women had substantially higher rates of tubal ligation (21.6% and 23.6%) than women of other races. The percentage of women ever breastfeeding was highest among Asian/Pacific Islanders (62.2%), and lowest among Blacks (47.7%). Benign breast disease was most common in Whites (23.0%) and least frequent in Hispanics (17.5%).

Over 60% of White and Asian/Pacific Islander participants were current or past users of postmenopausal hormones. Duration of use was greatest in Whites and Asian/

Table 3. Dietary intake of WHI Observational Study participants by age, from a Food Frequency Questionnaire

Nutrient ^a	Age at screening (y)						Total	
	50-59 (N = 28,487)		60-69 (N = 39,640)		70-79 (N = 21,789)		(N = 89,916)	
	N	Mean ± SD	N	Mean ± SD	N	Mean ± SD	N	Mean ± SD
Energy (kcal)	28,487	1498 ± 563	39,640	1460 ± 531	21,789	1413 ± 512	89,916	1460 ± 537
Total fat (g)	28,487	50 ± 26	39,640	49 ± 25	21,789	48 ± 24	89,916	49 ± 25
% Energy from fat	28,487	30 ± 8	39,640	30 ± 8	21,789	30 ± 8	89,916	30 ± 8
Total carbohydrate (g)	28,487	189 ± 74	39,640	184 ± 69	21,789	180 ± 67	89,916	184 ± 70
Protein (g)	28,487	62 ± 26	39,640	61 ± 24	21,789	59 ± 24	89,916	61 ± 25
Total SFA (g)	28,487	17 ± 9	39,640	16 ± 9	21,789	16 ± 8	89,916	16 ± 9
% Energy from SFA	28,487	10 ± 3	39,640	10 ± 3	21,789	10 ± 3	89,916	10 ± 3
Total trans fatty acid (g)	28,487	2.9 ± 1.4	39,640	2.9 ± 1.4	21,789	2.9 ± 1.3	89,916	2.9 ± 1.4
Dietary fiber (g)	28,487	16 ± 6	39,640	16 ± 6	21,789	16 ± 6	89,916	16 ± 6
Cholesterol (mg)	28,487	173 ± 101	39,640	170 ± 98	21,789	161 ± 93	89,916	168 ± 98
Vitamin D (mcg)	28,487	4.1 ± 2.0	39,640	4.3 ± 2.1	21,789	4.4 ± 2.2	89,916	4.3 ± 2.1
Total alpha-toc eq (mg)	28,487	7.4 ± 3.0	39,640	7.5 ± 3.0	21,789	7.5 ± 3.0	89,916	7.5 ± 3.0
Vitamin C (mg)	28,487	94 ± 54	39,640	99 ± 54	21,789	104 ± 55	89,916	99 ± 54
Folacin (mcg)	28,487	228 ± 98	39,640	236 ± 97	21,789	238 ± 98	89,916	234 ± 98
Calcium (mg)	28,487	680 ± 372	39,640	675 ± 362	21,789	668 ± 363	89,916	675 ± 366
Total calcium (mg)	28,487	978 ± 611	39,640	1012 ± 618	21,789	1002 ± 611	89,916	999 ± 614
Fruits and vegetables (servings/day)	28,487	3.7 ± 1.6	39,640	3.9 ± 1.6	21,789	4.2 ± 1.7	89,916	3.9 ± 1.7
Grains (servings/day)	28,480	4.3 ± 1.8	39,633	4.0 ± 1.7	21,788	3.7 ± 1.5	89,901	4.0 ± 1.7

^aMeans and standard deviations were computed on the log scale and back-transformed values are reported.

Table 4. Baseline blood analytes from a random sample of WHI Observational Study participants by age

Blood Analyte ^{a,b}	Age at screening (y)						Total (N = 1062)	Reliability (N = 564) ICC ^c	
	50-59 (N = 325)		60-69 (N = 453)		70-79 (N = 284)				
	N	Mean ± SD	N	Mean ± SD	N	Mean ± SD	N	Mean ± SD	
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	325	210 ± 35.6	453	217.1 ± 34.9	284	220.3 ± 36.9	1062	215.4 ± 35.8	0.82
LDL-C (mg/dl)	316	115.8 ± 34	444	120.8 ± 33.6	282	125.3 ± 35.4	1042	120.4 ± 34.3	0.83
HDL-C (mg/dl)	324	60.2 ± 17.2	453	62.9 ± 16.4	284	60.6 ± 16.1	1061	61.4 ± 16.5	0.89
HDL-2 (mg/dl)	313	18.5 ± 8.7	447	20.5 ± 9.3	273	19.9 ± 9.2	1033	19.7 ± 9.1	0.88
HDL-3 (mg/dl)	313	40.6 ± 9.7	447	41.5 ± 8.8	273	40.3 ± 8.7	1033	40.8 ± 9	0.86
Triglyceride (mg/dl)	325	130.5 ± 65.9	453	131.3 ± 60.8	284	136.1 ± 58.8	1062	132.1 ± 61.6	0.80
Lp(a) (mg/dl)	322	16.6 ± 18	453	17.7 ± 19.7	284	15.1 ± 16.6	1059	16.6 ± 18.2	0.95
Retinol (µg/ml)	325	0.6 ± 0.14	452	0.61 ± 0.14	284	0.61 ± 0.16	1061	0.61 ± 0.15	0.81
Alpha-carotene (µg/ml)	325	0.07 ± 0.07	452	0.08 ± 0.06	284	0.08 ± 0.06	1061	0.08 ± 0.06	0.73
Beta-carotene (µg/ml)	325	0.22 ± 0.2	452	0.26 ± 0.2	284	0.29 ± 0.25	1061	0.26 ± 0.22	0.84
Beta-cryptoxanthine (µg/ml)	325	0.07 ± 0.05	452	0.08 ± 0.05	284	0.09 ± 0.06	1061	0.08 ± 0.06	0.62
Lycopene (µg/ml)	325	0.4 ± 0.22	452	0.36 ± 0.2	284	0.33 ± 0.21	1061	0.36 ± 0.21	0.65
Lutein and zeaxanthin (µg/ml)	325	0.19 ± 0.09	452	0.21 ± 0.1	284	0.22 ± 0.1	1061	0.21 ± 0.1	0.83
Alpha-tocopherol (µg/ml)	325	15.1 ± 5.7	452	17.2 ± 6.8	284	18.6 ± 7.4	1061	16.9 ± 6.7	0.81
Gamma-tocopherol (µg/ml)	325	1.4 ± 1.2	452	1.2 ± 0.9	284	1.2 ± 1	1061	1.3 ± 1	0.85
Factor VII activity, antigen (%)	309	126.2 ± 32.2	447	124.4 ± 29.7	273	121.1 ± 29.3	1029	123.7 ± 30.2	0.86
Factor VIIC (%)	299	121.5 ± 32	434	124.4 ± 29.1	268	122 ± 28.8	1001	122.6 ± 29.8	0.83
Fibrinogen (mg/dl)	309	286.7 ± 57.8	445	292.4 ± 55.5	274	298.5 ± 58.1	1028	292.1 ± 56.7	0.67
Glucose (mg/dl)	322	94.4 ± 19.2	452	93 ± 14.1	281	96.6 ± 18.8	1055	94.3 ± 17	0.83
Insulin (µIU/ml)	309	8.9 ± 4.6	428	8.5 ± 4	270	9.1 ± 4.6	1007	8.8 ± 4.3	0.71

^aMeans and standard deviations were computed on the log scale and back-transformed values are reported.

^bMeans and standard deviations are weighted by the overall CT & OS ethnic distribution.

^cIntra-class correlation coefficient.

Pacific Islanders. Self-reported fracture at age 55 or older was twice as common in White (14.7%) compared with Black women (6.8%), with women of other races falling in between these rates. Hispanic women had the lowest rates of identifying a regular health care provider. Hispanic and American Indian women had the lowest rates of mammography within the past 2 years, or a PAP smear within the past 3 years, the highest prevalence of depression (23%), double the rate in Whites, and triple the rate in Asian/Pacific Islanders.

Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were greatest in Black women. The prevalence of treated hypertension in Blacks was 1.6 to 2.2 times greater than that of the other groups. American Indians were the most likely to have untreated hypertension. Black and American Indian women were more likely to have experienced a stroke or myocardial infarction. BMI was the lowest in Asian/Pacific Islanders and highest in Blacks; the mean BMI in all groups except Asian/Pacific Islanders was at least in the overweight range. The prevalence of diabetes was five times greater in American Indian, almost four times greater in Black and more than two times greater in Hispanic, than in White women.

Although White and American Indian women reported a previous diagnosis of cancer more often than women in the other ethnic groups, they did not have a striking excess of any specific type except melanoma. Black women had the highest rates of prior breast and colon cancers, while

Asian/Pacific Islanders were the least likely to have had breast cancer.

Black women reported a relatively low total energy intake, but a high percent of energy from fat. White women reported low cholesterol consumption, and the highest consumption of energy, protein, carbohydrates, fiber, calcium, vitamin D, and fruit and vegetable servings.

Measurement Precision Study

Reliability statistics are shown in the final columns of Tables 1, 2, and 4. There were no major differences by age or ethnicity (data not shown). Most demographic factors, reproductive variables, and family medical history were reliably reported, with kappa or weighted kappa above 0.8. Occupation, years lived in the current state of residence, passive smoking exposure, physical activity and induced abortion had reliability coefficients in the 0.6 to 0.8 range. Most of the self-reported medical conditions yielded kappa above 0.75, however self-report for some medical conditions was not reliable at this level. These conditions included stroke, congestive heart failure, carotid endarterectomy/angioplasty, peripheral arterial disease, deep venous thrombosis, depression, and bone fracture at or after age 55. Reported number of falls in the last 12 months also had low reproducibility (kappa = 0.45), but part of this poor reliability is probably due to the shift in the 1-year reference period

between the first and second administration of the questionnaire.

Most blood analytes were reliable with ICCs above 0.8. Blood measures with less reliable ICCs (between 0.6 and 0.8), included insulin, fibrinogen and several of the serum carotenoids. Limited dietary sources of some of the carotenoids (e.g., lycopene) may make their serum levels more variable over time than for other nutrients.

Representative Relative Risks Demonstrable in Prospective Analyses

Applying conventional statistical assumptions of $\alpha = 0.05$ and $\beta = 0.80$, analyses in the entire OS population should allow demonstration of exposure:disease associations with a relative risk (RR) of 1.4 after 3 years, and well-below 1.25 after 6 years of follow-up for an exposure present in at least 10% of the population, e.g., hyperlipidemia, and a disease with an annual incidence of 5 per 1000, such as coronary heart disease (CHD) in women aged 70 to 74 years. An equivalent RR could be demonstrated after 3 years for an exposure present in at least 30% of the population, e.g. hypertension. For a less common disease with an annual incidence of 1 per 1000, e.g., breast cancer at ages 65 to 79 or CHD at ages 55 to 59, the detectable relative risks after 3, 6, and 9 years of follow-up for an exposure found in at least 30% of the population, e.g., high fat diet, are 1.5, 1.4 and 1.25, respectively.

At the other end of the spectrum, for analyses restricted to a sub-population of 6,000 participants, e.g., ethnic subgroups, demonstrable RRs at 3, 6, and 9 years for a risk factor with 10% exposure and a disease with 5/1000 annual incidence, are 2.75, 1.9, and 1.75, respectively. These estimates improve to 1.9, 1.65, and 1.4 if the exposure is present in 30% of the population. For a disease with 1/1000 annual incidence and a risk factor with 10% exposure, a RR of 3.2 is detectable at 9 years. If the exposure is present in 30% of the population, the detectable RR is 2.8 at 6 years and 2.5 after 9 years.

DISCUSSION

The fraction of the US population comprised of ethnic minorities decreases with age and this is reflected in the composition of the WHI OS cohort. According to US Census data for women aged 50 to 59, 60 to 69, and 70 to 79 years, the fraction of Blacks declines from 11% to 10% to 8%, and the fraction of Hispanics from 7% to 6% to 4%, while the fraction of Whites increases from 78% to 81% to 85% (4). The trends in the OS are similar but the minority fractions are slightly lower in each decade. Overall, 81% of US women aged 50 to 79 years were White, and the

fraction in the OS is similar at 83%. While the cohort overall is somewhat better educated than same aged women in the US, OS volunteers are less different from the US population in general than participants in other recent studies of postmenopausal women (5,6).

Women enrolled in the OS have some traits that result from the clinical trial exclusions. Although its benefit remains to be proven, postmenopausal hormone use was popular as a preventive intervention for coronary disease when women were recruited to the WHI, and few women who were taking hormones were willing to participate in a randomized trial of this treatment. Thus, more OS women were on hormones at baseline than clinical trial participants. Other studies have found that women who elected to take hormones generally had more favorable risk factor profiles and healthier lifestyles than women who did not, even before they began using hormones (7-9).

Similarly, potential participants were excluded from the dietary modification trial if their diets were already low in fat. If they did not join the PHT trial, women excluded from the DM trial for this reason were offered participation in the OS. Eating a low fat diet is a common healthy behavior that may overlap with other healthy life style traits. Thus, because of the selection process for both the dietary and hormone trials, the OS would be expected to have more women with healthy life styles than the clinical trial and this is indeed the case.

Consistent with other US population data (10), total family income declined with increasing age. Some of this effect may be attributable to the parallel increase in widowhood and living alone. It is also possible that there is a cohort effect due to inflation, since wages were lower when the oldest participant's households were employed, which could influence the current value of savings. In census data from 1990 that were unselected for gender, the prevalence of total family income <\$15,000 rose steeply from 5% to 37% as householder age went from between 45 and 54 years to between 65 and 74 years. Corresponding rates for income >\$50,000 were 40% and 13% (10).

The trends in parity by age may be attributable to the social and economic trends during the reproductive years for these women. The oldest participants were in their childbearing years during World War II and the post-war baby boom, while the younger participants came of age when women were increasingly involved in the workplace. Oral contraceptives became available near the end of the reproductive years for the oldest women, but were an option throughout the reproductive years for the youngest.

As expected, the prevalence of hypertension increased with age in parallel with the age-related increases in systolic blood pressure. Yet, OS women may be healthier than the population from which they were drawn. For example, among NHANES-III women aged 50 to 79 years, 48%

were hypertensive, 7% reported a history of physician-diagnosed heart attack, and 5% reported a physician-diagnosed stroke (11). Equivalent rates in the OS were 34%, 3%, and 2%. Thus, the prevalence of coronary disease and stroke was only about half that expected using NHANES-III estimates. The fraction of current smokers in the OS, at 6%, is one-third the 18% rate in NHANES-III. This may be related to a healthy volunteer effect.

The frequency of engaging in some form of exercise did not decline by age in the OS sample. Similar findings have been reported for women aged 50 to 79 years in the NHANES-III population (12). However, BMI declined and waist/hip ratio increased with age. It is not clear whether these differences are meaningful in terms of body-weight-associated disease risks. They may also represent changes in body habitus resulting from age-related changes in height and girth, including those related to osteoporosis. A similar trend for declining BMI with age has been reported in NHANES-III (13).

Yet, despite their generally healthy risk factor profiles and lower self-reported prevalence of cardiovascular disease, cancer prevalence in the OS group was higher than population estimates. Compared with the NHANES-III cohort, slightly greater proportions of the OS cohort reported having had a cancer other than skin cancer. Similarly, estimated prevalence rates of invasive cancer computed from the Connecticut SEER registry (personal communication), weighted to the age distribution of the OS women, are 30% to 70% lower for breast, colorectal, and endometrial cancer, and two to three times lower for melanoma or cervical cancer. The excess rates in the OS may be explained by the likelihood that cancer survivors were motivated to join the WHI but were excluded from the clinical trial. The three-fold excess of melanoma and cervical cancer reported by WHI women may reflect in-situ disease that would not appear in SEER, or confusion of non-melanoma skin cancers with melanoma and cervical dysplasia with cancer in self-report. Conversely, the rates of melanoma may be lower in Connecticut where the degree of sun exposure is less than in the US as a whole.

Hip fracture incidence rates have been reported in other populations from hospital discharge data. They increase exponentially with age in White women (1.63/1000 in 65-year-olds to 35.4/1000 in 95-year-olds) and less than exponentially with age in Black women (14). These data are consistent with the WHI finding of increased prevalence with age. The WHI ethnic differences in hip fracture are consistent with those reported elsewhere (14-16).

OS Black women had the highest prevalence of hysterectomy overall, and hysterectomy before age 40. In contrast, recent data from the National Hospital Discharge Survey (NHDS) do not show a difference by race in annual rates of hysterectomy (17), suggesting that this discrepancy may

reflect past rather than current practice. Also, the NHDS diagnosis most often associated with hysterectomy was leiomyoma (fibroids) which was twice as common in Black compared with White women (17). Symptomatic fibroids may influence these differences since other published data show that Black women undergo hysterectomy for fibroids at an earlier age than White women (18). OS Black women were twice as likely as other participants to have never had a term pregnancy, suggesting an increase in both premature births and abortions. This is consistent with data showing an increased risk of prematurity among Black women (19). The higher rates of tubal ligation in OS Hispanic and Black women is consistent with the increased parity and abortion rates that we observed in these groups. Differences in the rates of breastfeeding may relate to cultural differences in the acceptability of this practice.

The prevalence of depression was greater in younger women despite the greater likelihood that older women are widowed or living alone. This observation may be partly explained by the greater contribution of minority women to the younger age group since Hispanic and American Indian women had a particularly high prevalence of depression. It is also possible that the scale measures stress more than depression (20) and that younger women are more stressed due to competing roles.

The Measurement Precision Study found that most risk factors were reliably reported, similar to findings by others (21). It also confirmed the reliability of most health conditions that will be followed in the OS. Notable exceptions were found for major cardiovascular endpoints, depression, and bone fracture at age 55 or older. Notwithstanding the lower reliability of self-report for specific prevalent diseases, incident events resulting in hospitalization for these conditions will be validated by medical record review. The reliability of most blood analytes was excellent, although insulin, fibrinogen, and carotenoids were less reliable than other measures. These reliability coefficients reflect the measurement error of using a single measure at one point in time, including the errors due to specimen handling, laboratory error and "within-subject" variation over a 3-month period but not long-term variability.

While a longitudinal study that depends on volunteers cannot be fully representative of the population from which it is drawn, the WHI OS includes a greater number of minority and economically disadvantaged women than have previously participated in any comparable study. The differences between ethnic groups, particularly the contrasts between Hispanic women and the other ethnic groups with regard to education, family income and reproductive history are striking, as are the contrasts between Black women and the other ethnic groups in cardiovascular risk and factors that lead to living alone.

The WHI OS, with its large sample size overall, minority representation comparable to US population levels by age, long duration, and large variety of exposure and outcome variables measured over time, offers unusual opportunities to study predictors of both common and uncommon health outcomes in postmenopausal US women.

The Writing Group wishes to acknowledge the contributions of Sandra A. Daugherty, M.D., M.P.H., WHI Clinical Center, University of Nevada, Reno, NV, who passed away as this manuscript was being prepared.

REFERENCES

1. The Women's Health Initiative Study Group. Design of the Women's Health Initiative clinical trial and observational study. *Control Clin Trials*. 1998;19:61–109.
2. Patterson RE, Kristal AR, Fels-Tinker L, Carter RA, Bolton MP, Agurs-Collins T. Measurement characteristics of the Women's Health Initiative food frequency questionnaire. *Ann Epidemiol*. 1999;9:178–187.
3. Armstrong BK, White E, Saracci R. *Principles of Exposure Measurement in Epidemiology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1994.
4. Day JC. Population projections of the United States by age, sex, race and Hispanic origin: 1995 to 2050. US Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, P-25-1130. Washington DC: US Government Printing Office; 1996: Table 2:42,90.
5. Miller VT, Byington RL, Espeland MA, Langer RD, Marcus R, Shumaker S, et al. Baseline characteristics of the PEP1 participants. *Control Clin Trials*. 1995;16:54S–65S.
6. Schrott HG, Bittner V, Vittinghoff E, Herrington DM, Hulley S. Adherence to National Cholesterol Education Program Treatment goals in postmenopausal women with heart disease. The Heart and Estrogen/Progestin Replacement Study (HERS). *JAMA*. 1997;277:1281–1286.
7. Barrett-Connor E, Brown WV, Turner J, Austin M, Criqui MH. Heart disease risk factors and hormone use in postmenopausal women. *JAMA*. 1979;241:2167–2169.
8. Barrett-Connor E, Wingard DL, Criqui MH. Postmenopausal estrogen use and heart disease risk factors in the 1980s. Rancho Bernardo, Calif, revisited. *JAMA*. 1989;261:2095–2100.
9. Matthews KA, Kuller LH, Wing RR, Meilahn EN, Plantinga P. Prior use of estrogen replacement therapy, are users healthier than non-users? *Am J Epidemiol*. 1996;143:971–978.
10. US Census Bureau. Age of householder by household income in 1989. 1990 Census Lookup Server. Database C90STF3C1.
11. US Department of Health and Human Services, Center for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, III 1988-94. NCHS CD-ROM Series 11; Nos. 1, 1A; July 1997.
12. Crespo CJ, Keteyian SJ, Heath GW, Sempos CT. Leisure-time physical activity among US adults: results from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Arch Intern Med*. 1996;156:93–98.
13. Kuczmarski RJ, Flegal KM, Campbell SM, Johnson CL. Increasing prevalence of overweight among US adults. *JAMA*. 1994;272:205–211.
14. Jacobsen SJ, Goldberg J, Miles TP, Brody JA, Stiers W, Rimm AA. Hip fracture incidence among the old and the very old: A population-based study of 745,435 cases. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1990;80:871–873.
15. Bauer RL. Ethnic differences in hip fracture: A reduced incidence in Mexican Americans. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1988;127:145–149.
16. Kellie SE, Brody JA. Sex-specific and race-specific hip fracture rates. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1990;80(3):326–328.
17. Lepine LA, Hillis SD, Marchbanks PA, Koonin LM, Morrow B, Kieke BA, et al. Hysterectomy surveillance—United States 1980-1993. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Review CDC Surveillance Summaries*. 1997;46:1–15.
18. Kjerulff KH, Langenberg P, Seidman JD, Stolley PD, Guzinski GM. Uterine leiomyomas. Racial differences in severity, symptoms and age at diagnosis. *J Reprod Med*. 1996;41:483–490.
19. Mercer BM, Goldenberg RL, Das A, Moawad AH, Iams JD, Meis PJ, Copper RL, Johnson F, Thom E, McNellis D, Miodovnick M, Menard MK, Caritis SN, Thurnau GR, Bottoms SF, Roberts J. The preterm prediction study: a clinical risk assessment system. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1996;174:1885–1893.
20. Tuunainen A, Kripke DF, Elliott JA, Assimus JD, Rex KH, Klauber HR, Langer RD. Depression and endogenous melatonin in postmenopausal women. *J Affect Disord* 2002;69(1–3):149–158.
21. Rohan TE, Record SJ, Cook MG. Repeatability of interview-derived socio-demographic and medical information. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1988;41:763–770.